

Appl. No. : 10/595,804
Filed : June 12, 2006

SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW

The telephone interview initiated by Applicants' representative, Katsuhiro Arai, was conducted with Examiner Momper and Supervisory Examiner Siconolfi on March 15, 2010.

Exhibits or demonstrations shown

A document by Applicants explaining that Onoe does not disclose a relationship between oscillation of the belt and the angle of final twist (Fig. 7, Fig. 9) and Onoe's belt and the claimed belt are dissimilar was submitted.

Identification of Prior Art Discussed

Onoe et al. (US 4,790,802) was discussed.

Proposed Amendments

None.

Principal Arguments and Other Matters

Onoe's belt and the claimed belt are dissimilar, and Onoe does not disclose a relationship between oscillation of the belt and the angle of final twist (Fig. 7, Fig. 9). The angle of final twist in Onoe cannot be equated with the cord core twist angle in the claims.

Results of Interview

The Examiners appeared to agree that Onoe does not disclose a relationship between oscillation of the belt and the angle of final twist, but requested that a declaration by Onoe stating that "the angle of final twist" is incorrect and should have been "the angle of first twist" be submitted. The representative agreed to submit the declaration.

The Examiners suggest that "the core cord twist angle" be well defined to clarify that 90° minus the final twist angle of Onoe, rather than the final twist angle, corresponds to "the core cord twist angle" in the claims. The representative agreed to amend the claims to clarify the above distinction.